

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,538	10/29/2003	Gerald Leonard Jackson		7572	
75	90 06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
Gerald Leonard Jackson 6108 Eric Lane			KYLE, MICHAEL J		
Montgomery, AL 36116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		
			DATE MAILED, 06/15/200	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

▲,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/697,538	JACKSON, GERALD LEONARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u>.</u>	Michael J. Kyle	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						

\$W

Application/Control Number: 10/697,538 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the use of periods (.) within the claim. This punctuation should be used only at the end of the claim, and no claim should contain more than one period (.). Examiner suggests replacing each period with a comma (,) or semi-colon (;).

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to for the limitations "such as a solenoid" and "such as a light emitting diode". It is unclear if these features are actually part of the invention, because of the phrase "such as".
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the rocker arm switch". This limitation lacks antecedent basis.
- 4. Claim 1 recites "an electric motor" in part (b) of the claim, and "an electric motor" in part (f) of the claim. It is unclear if this is the same electric motor.
- 5. Claim 1 recites "each electric motor" in part (f) of the claim. It is unclear if there is more than one electric motor, as indicated by the word "each", as only "an" electric motor has been provided for by the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim. A claim must not refer to the drawings.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,538 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gmeiner et al ("Gmeiner", U.S Patent No. 4,652,768). Gmeiner discloses a system to control a child safety lock comprising child safety locks (abstract, line 5), an electric motor (abstract, line 6) to move the child safety locks into engaged or disengaged positions, an electronic display system (8) to indicate the status of the child safety lock mechanism, and circuit wires. The electric motor is attached to the child safety lock, one end of the circuit wire is attached to the electric motor, and the other end of the circuit wire is attached to a rocker arm switch (1)

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to child safety lock systems: Kennard, Leger et al, Johnson, Johnson et al, Periou, Fisher, and Willats et al.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Kyle whose telephone number is 571-272-7057. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 8:30 am 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,538

Art Unit: 3676

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mk

ROBERT J. SANDY